Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN	ſΑ

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE NORTHERN CALIFORNIA SHEET METAL WORKERS HEALTH CARE PLAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

LIBERTY DUCT, LLC,

Defendant.

Case No.: C 13-1288 KAW

ORDER

In this ERISA case, Plaintiffs allege that Defendant Liberty Duct, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, breached the terms of a collective bargaining agreement and trust agreements. See Comp'l at 1-2. The complaint does not explain what type of business Defendant is. No attorney has appeared on behalf of Defendant.

Plaintiffs, through counsel, and Paul Wulfenstein, the ostensible coporate owner of Defendant, have filed a document consenting to the undersigned's jurisdiction. Plaintiffs' counsel and Wulfenstein have also signed and filed a stipulation for judgment, and have filed a proposed judgment.

Because Defendant is an LLC, it cannot be represented by a non-attorney. See 28 U.S.C. § 1654; Civil Local Rule 3-9(b) ("A corporation, unincorporated association, partnership or other such entity may appear only through a member of the bar of this Court."); D-Beam Ltd. P'ship v. Roller Derby Skates, Inc., 366 F.3d 972, 973-74 (9th Cir. 2004) ("It is a longstanding rule that corporations

Case 4:13-cv-01288-KAW Document 9 Filed 04/04/13 Page 2 of 2

and other unincorporated associations must appear in court through an attorney."). Accordingly, the
consent filed by Wulfenstein does not operate as a consent by Defendant, and the stipulation entered
into by Wulfenstein does not operate as a stipulation by Defendant.

Accordingly, within 30 days of the date of this order, Defendant shall retain counsel in this matter, and re-file the consent and stipulation. Failure to comply with this order may result in the entry of a default judgment against Defendant. See Employee Painters' Trust v. Ethan Enterprises, Inc., 480 F.3d 993, 998 (9th Cir. 2007) (default may be a permissible sanction for failure to comply with local rules requiring representation by counsel).

It is so ORDERED.

DATE: April 4, 2013

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ander Westmore